

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REYES CONTRERAS MURCIA, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SANTA MONICA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-05253-FLA (MARx)

**ORDER APPROVING
STIPULATION TO VACATE
DATES IN ADVANCE OF
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
[DKT. 57]**


1 On March 1, 2024, Plaintiffs Reyes Contreras Murcia and Sherman A.
2 Perryman (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants City of Santa Monica, Ramon Batista, David
3 White, Matthew Lieb, and the Santa Monica Police Department filed a notice of
4 conditional settlement and request to vacate all pretrial and trial dates (the
5 “Stipulation”). Dkt. 57. The parties state they have reached a tentative settlement that
6 would dispose of the entire litigation, but must first obtain approval from the City
7 Council of the City of Santa Monica. *Id.* at 1–2.

8 The court, having considered the Stipulation, and finding good cause therefor,
9 hereby APPROVES the Stipulation and ORDERS as follows:

- 10 • Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 51) is hereby deemed
11 WITHDRAWN;
12 • All pretrial, trial, and related dates are VACATED;
13 • Within ninety (90) days of this Order, Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for
14 Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement or the parties shall
15 file a joint status report regarding why the motion has not been filed.
16

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 Dated: March 1, 2024

20 
21 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28